arXiv:hep-th/9808146v2 26 Aug 1998

DFTT-47/98
hep-th/9808146

All roads lead to Rome:
Supersolvables and Supercosets

I. Pesando [1]

Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica , Universiá di Torino, via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino,
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) - sezione di Torino, Italy

ABSTRACT

We show explicitly that the two recently proposed actions for the type IIB superstring propagating on $AdS_5 \times S_5$ agree completely.

---

[1] e-mail: ipesando@to.infn.it, pesando@alf.nbi.dk

Work supported by the European Commission TMR programme ERBFMRX-CT96-004

In the last period there has been quite a lot of activity in finding the GS action for a type IIB string propagating on $AdS_5 \times S_5$, this has resulted in two proposals which are apparently different.

It is purpose of this letter to show that they are exactly the same thus clarifying some doubts.

The first proposed action ([7]) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
&S = \int d^2\xi \, \sqrt{-g} \, g^{\alpha\beta} \tfrac{1}{2} \times \\
&\Big\{ \eta_{pq} \tfrac{\rho^2}{e^2} \left[\partial_\alpha x^p + \tfrac{ie}{4} \left(\vartheta^{\dagger N} \widetilde{\sigma}^p \partial_\alpha \theta_N - \partial_\alpha \vartheta^{\dagger N} \widetilde{\sigma}^p \theta_N \right) \right] \left[\partial_\beta x^q + \tfrac{ie}{4} \left(\vartheta^{\dagger M} \widetilde{\sigma}^q \partial_\beta \theta_M - \partial_\beta \vartheta^{\dagger M} \widetilde{\sigma}^q \theta_M \right) \right] \\
&\qquad - \tfrac{1}{e^2} \tfrac{\partial_\alpha \rho \partial_\beta \rho}{\rho^2} - \tfrac{4}{e^2} \delta_{ij} \tfrac{\partial_\alpha z^i \partial_\beta z^j}{(1-z^2)^2} \Big\} \\
&\qquad - \tfrac{i}{4e} \rho \, \left[d\theta^{\dagger N} \sigma_2 d\theta^{* M} \, \overline{\eta}_N(z) \eta_{cM}(z) + d\theta_N^T \sigma_2 d\theta_M \, \overline{\eta}_c^N(z) \eta^M(z) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

and it was obtained using the supersolvable algebra tecnique ([6]) while the other ([4][5][1][2][3]) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2\xi \quad &\Big[\sqrt{-g} \, g^{\alpha\beta} y^2 \left(\partial_\alpha x^p + 2i \, \widehat{\overline{\vartheta}} \Gamma^p \partial_\alpha \widehat{\theta} \right) \left(\partial_\beta x_p + 2i \, \widehat{\overline{\vartheta}} \Gamma_p \partial_\beta \widehat{\theta} \right) + \tfrac{1}{y^2} \partial_\alpha y^t \partial_\beta y_t \\
&+ 4i \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \, \partial_\alpha y^t \, \widehat{\overline{\vartheta}} \Gamma_t \partial_\beta \widehat{\theta} \Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Let us now specify the notations and spell out the differences of the two actions. The first thing to notice and the most trivial is that the first one uses a mostly minus metric while the second one uses a mostly plus metric. Second the indeces run as follows $p, q, \ldots = 0, \ldots, 3$, $i, j, \ldots = 5, \ldots, 9$, $t, u, \ldots = 4, \ldots, 9$ because the first one uses horospherical coordinates $\{x^p, \rho\}$ on AdS_5 and projective $\{z^i\}$ coordinates on S_5 while the second one uses cartesian coordinates $\{x^p, y^t\}$. But the most striking difference is in the fermionic sector: the fermionic coordinates in (1) θ_N are a set of $N = 4$ Weyl spinor in $D = 4$ (or that is the same half a spinor in $D = 5$: this is the effect of fixing the κ symmetry on $AdS_{5|4}$) while the ones used in (6) $\widehat{\theta}$ are a Majorana -Weyl spinor in $D = 10$. In addition to this in (1) enter the c-number Killing spinors on S_5 η^N and their conjugate

$\eta_c^N \equiv C_5 \eta_N^\dagger$ [2]which satisfy the equation

$$D_{SO(5)} \eta^N \equiv \left(d - \frac{1}{4} \varpi^{ij} \tau_{ij} \right) \eta^N = -\frac{e}{2} \tau_i \eta^N E^i$$

In order to compare the two actions we need the explicit form of these Killing spinors; this is not too hard to obtain with the help of the ansatz

$$\eta^N = \left(a(z^2) + b(z^2)\, z^i \tau_i \right) \epsilon^N$$

where ϵ^N are constant spinors. The result is[3]

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} \left(1 - z^i \tau_i \right) \epsilon^N \\ \eta_c^N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-z^2}} \left(1 + z^i \tau_i \right) \epsilon^N \end{aligned} \qquad (3)$$

---

[2] We use the following 10D Γ representation in terms of the AdS_5 γ^a matrices and of the corresponding S_5 τ^i matrices

$$\Gamma^{\widehat{a}} = \left\{ \gamma^a \otimes 1_4 \otimes \sigma_1 \, , \, 1_4 \otimes \tau^i \otimes (-\sigma_2) \right\}$$

with $\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}, \ldots = 0 \ldots 9$, $a, b, \ldots = 0 \ldots 4$ and $i, j, \ldots = 5 \ldots 9$.
And we write the 10D charge conjugation as

$$\widehat{C} = C \otimes C_5 \otimes \sigma_2$$

where C , C_5 are the AdS_5 and S_5 charge conjugation matrices.
All C .s , $C^{-1}\gamma^a$, $C_5^{-1}\tau^i$ are antisymmetric while $\widehat{C}^{-1}\Gamma^{\widehat{a}}$ are symmetric.
Moreover we use the following (1+4)D γ explicit representation

$$\gamma_p = \begin{pmatrix} & \sigma_p \\ \widetilde{\sigma}_p & \end{pmatrix} \; \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} i\,1_2 & \\ & -i\,1_2 \end{pmatrix} \; C = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_2 & \\ & i\sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $p, q, \ldots = 0 \ldots 3$, $\sigma_p = \{1_2, -\sigma_1, -\sigma_2, -\sigma_3\}$ and $\widetilde{\sigma}_p = \{1_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$
and the following 5D τ

$$\tau_5 = i\gamma_0 \; \tau_{6,\ldots,9} = \gamma_{1,\ldots,4} \; C_5 = C$$

[3] Our conventions for the S_5 coset manifold with the Killing induced metric, i.e. negative definite, are

$$\begin{aligned} dE^i - \varpi^i_{\cdot j} E^j &= 0 \\ d\varpi^{ij} - \varpi^{ik} \varpi_k^{\cdot j} &= e^2 E^i E^j \end{aligned}$$

where all the potentials depend on the coordinate z. Explicitly we have ($z^2 = \eta_{ij} z^i z^j = -\delta_{ij} z^i z^j$)

$$\begin{aligned} E^i &= \frac{2}{e} \frac{dz^i}{1-z^2} \\ \varpi^{ij} &= \frac{4 z^{[i} dz^{j]}}{1-z^2} \end{aligned}$$

with $\epsilon^\dagger_N \epsilon^M = \delta^M_N$ in order to satisfy the normalisation condition $\eta^\dagger_M \eta^N = \delta^N_M$; we can therefore choose the normalisation $\epsilon^N_\alpha = \delta^N_\alpha$ (α is the $4D$ spinor index).

When we insert this expression in (1) we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
S = \int d^2\xi \, \sqrt{-g}\, g^{\alpha\beta} \tfrac{1}{2} \times \\
\Big\{ \eta_{pq} \tfrac{\rho^2}{e^2} \left[\partial_\alpha x^p + \tfrac{ie}{4} \left(\vartheta^{\dagger N} \widetilde{\sigma}^p \partial_\alpha \theta_N - \partial_\alpha \vartheta^{\dagger N} \widetilde{\sigma}^p \theta_N \right) \right] \left[\partial_\beta x^q + \tfrac{ie}{4} \left(\vartheta^{\dagger M} \widetilde{\sigma}^q \partial_\beta \theta_M - \partial_\beta \vartheta^{\dagger M} \widetilde{\sigma}^q \theta_M \right) \right] \\
- \tfrac{1}{e^2} \tfrac{\partial_\alpha \rho \partial_\beta \rho}{\rho^2} - \tfrac{4}{e^2} \delta_{ij} \tfrac{\partial_\alpha z^i \partial_\beta z^j}{(1-z^2)^2} \Big\} \\
- \tfrac{i}{4e} \rho \, \tfrac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \left[d\theta^{\dagger N} \sigma_2 d\theta^{*M} \, \epsilon^\dagger_N C_5 \epsilon^*_M + d\theta^T_N \sigma_2 d\theta_M \, \epsilon^{T\,N} C_5^{-1} \epsilon^M \right] \\
- \tfrac{i}{4e} \rho \, \tfrac{2z^i}{1-z^2} \left[d\theta^{\dagger N} \sigma_2 d\theta^{*M} \, \epsilon^\dagger_N \tau_i C_5 \epsilon^*_M - d\theta^T_N \sigma_2 d\theta_M \, \epsilon^{T\,N} C_5^{-1} \tau_i \epsilon^M \right]
\end{gathered}
$$

The comparison between the two bosonic kinetic terms and the two WZ terms suggests the following change of variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
y^4 &= \rho \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \\
y^i &= \rho \, \frac{2z^i}{1-z^2}
\end{aligned}
\qquad (4)
$$

In this way the bosonic part of the two actions (1) and (6) agrees perfectly; in particular we get:

$$
\frac{dy^2}{y^2} = \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} - 4 \, \frac{dz^2}{\left(1-z^2\right)^2}
$$

We are left with the task of making a $10D$ Majorana-Weyl spinor $\widehat{\theta}$ out of 4 $4D$ Weyl spinors θ_N and 4 constant $5D$ spinors ϵ^N . To this purpose we notice that with our conventions the following $10D$ spinor is Majorana-Weyl for all the $4D$ spinors α_N :

$$
\Theta_{MW} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} \alpha_N \\ \sigma_2 \alpha^{*M} \, C_{5\,NM} \end{array} \right) \otimes \epsilon^N \\ 0_{16} \end{array} \right)
$$

We can now compute all the relevant two fermions currents $\overline{\Theta}_{MW\,1} \Gamma^{\widehat{a}} \Theta_{MW\,2}$ using the explicit expression for ϵ_N explictly:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\Theta}_{MW\,1} \Gamma^p \Theta_{MW\,2} &= \alpha_1^{\dagger N} \widetilde{\sigma}^p \alpha_{N\,2} - \alpha_2^{\dagger N} \widetilde{\sigma}^p \alpha_{N\,1} \\
\overline{\Theta}_{MW\,1} \Gamma^4 \Theta_{MW\,2} &= i\, \alpha_1^{\dagger N} \sigma_2 \alpha_2^{*M} \, \epsilon^\dagger_N C_5 \epsilon^*_M - i\, \alpha^T_{N\,1} \sigma_2 \alpha_{M\,2} \, \epsilon^{T\,N} C_5^{-1} \epsilon^M \\
\overline{\Theta}_{MW\,1} \Gamma^i \Theta_{MW\,2} &= -i\, \alpha_1^{\dagger N} \sigma_2 \alpha_2^{*M} \, \epsilon^\dagger_N \tau^i C_5 \epsilon^*_M - i\, \alpha^T_{N\,1} \sigma_2 \alpha_{M\,2} \, \epsilon^{T\,N} C_5^{-1} \tau^i \epsilon^M
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to make the WZ term to agree we have to set $\alpha_N = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} e^{i\pi/4} \theta_N$, explicitly

$$\widehat{\theta} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{\iota\pi}{4}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\begin{array}{c} \theta_N \\ -i\,\sigma_2 \theta^{*M}\, C_{5NM} \end{array} \right) \otimes \epsilon^N \\ 0_{16} \end{array} \right) \tag{5}$$

With this substitution our action (1) becomes

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2\xi \quad \sqrt{-g}\, g^{\alpha\beta} \left[\tfrac{y^2}{e^2} \left(\partial_\alpha x^p + 2ie\, \widehat{\overline{\vartheta}} \Gamma^p \partial_\alpha \widehat{\theta} \right) \left(\partial_\beta x_p + 2ie\, \widehat{\overline{\vartheta}} \Gamma_p \partial_\beta \widehat{\theta} \right) + \tfrac{1}{e^2\, y^2} \partial_\alpha y^t \partial_\beta y_t \right]$$
$$-4\tfrac{i}{e} y^t \, d\widehat{\overline{\vartheta}} \Gamma_t d\widehat{\theta}$$

which coincides with (6) exactly after performing an integration by part of the WZ term, thus proving the exact equivalence of the two proposed actions (at least on a word sheet without holes).

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank the Niels Bohr Institute for the hospitality.

References

[1] R.R Metsaev and A.A. Tseytlin, Type IIB Superstring Action in $AdS_5 \times S_5$ Background, hepth/9805028;
Supersymmetric D3 Brane Action $AdS_5 \times S_5$, hep-th/9506095

[2] R. Kallosh, J. Rahmfeld and A. Rajaraman, Near Horizon Superspace, hep-th/9805217

[3] R. Kallosh, Superconformal Actions in Killing Gauge, hep-th/9807206

[4] R. Kallosh and J. Rahmfeld, The GS String Action on $AdS_5 \times S_5$, hep-th/9808038

[5] R. Kallosh and A.K. Tseytlin, Simplifying Superstring Action on $AdS_5 \times S_5$, hep-th/9808088

[6] G. Dall'Agata, D. Fabbri, C. Fraser, P. Fre', P. Termonia and M. Trigiante, The $Osp(8|4)$ Singleton Action from the Supermembrane, hep-th/9807115

[7] I. Pesando, A κ Gauge Fixed type IIB Superstring Action on $AdS_5 \times S_5$,hep-th/9802020